

k alg. closed field of char $p \gg 0$

G simple s/c alg. k -gp

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$, \mathfrak{g} is restricted, $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$

$U = U(\mathfrak{g})$ the enveloping alg. of \mathfrak{g}

$\mathbb{Z}_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle x^p - x^{[p]}, x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$, a polynomial alg in $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ variables

Pick $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and set $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) / I_\chi$

$I_\chi = \langle x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p, x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$

(2.2) Killing form

Assume $\chi = (e, \cdot)$, e nonzero nilp element in \mathfrak{g} .

\exists cocharacter $\lambda_e : k^* \rightarrow G$ s.t.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i), \quad e \in \mathfrak{g}(2)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}(e) = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = c x \} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(i)$$

We have a nondeg. skew-sym form on $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$.

$$\langle x, y \rangle = (e, [x, y]) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}(-1)$$

$\mathfrak{g}(-1)^\circ$ max. totally isotrop. subspace for \langle, \rangle and set

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{g}(-1)^\circ \oplus_{i \geq 2} \mathfrak{g}(-i)$$

Then $\dim \mathfrak{m} = \frac{1}{2} \dim G \cdot e$ and χ vanishes on $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$.

So $\chi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow k$ is a rep. (k_χ the corr. module)

Define $Q_\chi^{[p]} = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{m})} k_\chi$

$$W = W^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}, e) = (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot Q_\chi^{[p]})^{\circ p}$$

It turns out that $\underbrace{Q_x^{[p]} \oplus \dots \oplus Q_x^{[p]}}_{p^{d(e)}} \cong U_x(\mathfrak{g})$
 $d(e) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot e$

Then $U_x(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Mat}_{p^{d(e)}}(W)$.

Hence every f.d. $U_x(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $P^{d(e)}$ has dim divisible by $p^{d(e)}$.

Problem of smallest rep: Does there always exist a $U_x(\mathfrak{g})$ -module of dim $p^{d(e)}$?

1. Suppose e is Richardson

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \underbrace{\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \mathfrak{p} = \text{Lie } P$$

$e \in \mathfrak{n}_+$, $\bar{P} \cdot e = \mathfrak{n}_+$, Note $U_x(\mathfrak{p}) \cong U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{p})$

Take a 1-dim. \mathfrak{l} -module, say k_λ , and

consider $U_x(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_x(\mathfrak{p}) k_\lambda$.

This has $\dim = p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} = p^{d(e)}$.

2. Suppose e is induced from $e_0 \in \mathfrak{l}$

$e = e_0 + e_1$ where e_1 is Richardson in \mathfrak{n}_+ .

Suppose $U_{x_0}(\mathfrak{l})$ has a module of dim $p^{d(e_0)}$, say V .

$\chi_0 = (e_0, \cdot)$

Then consider $U_x(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_x(\mathfrak{p}) V := \tilde{V}$

Then $\dim \tilde{V} = p^{d(e)}$.

Give $U(\mathfrak{g})$ Kazhdan filtration by setting

$$\deg_i(x) = i+2 \quad \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{g}(i)$$

let x_1, \dots, x_r be a basis of \mathfrak{g}_e s.t.

$$x_i \in \mathfrak{g}(n_i), \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Then W has k -basis $\{ \theta_1^{a_1} \dots \theta_r^{a_r} \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1 \}$

$$\theta_i(1_x) = \left(x_i + \text{nonlin. terms of } X \text{ degree } n_i+2 + \text{lower terms} \right) \otimes 1_x$$

Consider $Q_x = U(\mathfrak{g}_e) \otimes U(\mathfrak{m}_e) \mathbb{C}_x$,

$$W_e := W(\mathfrak{g}_e, e) = (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_e} Q_x)^{\circ p}$$

finite W -alg. assoc with (\mathfrak{g}_e, e) .

It has PBW basis $\{ \theta_1^{a_1} \dots \theta_r^{a_r} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}$.

$Z(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ center of $U(\mathfrak{g}_e)$

Some properties.

① $Z(\mathfrak{g}_e) \hookrightarrow W_e$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g}_e) = Z(W_e)$

② W_e is a free $Z(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ -module

③ There are polynomials F_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, over \mathbb{Q} s.t.

(*) $[\theta_i, \theta_j] = F_{ij}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r)$ is a presentation of W_e .

④ Every W_e has f.d. irr. representation.

Moreover, $\forall u \in W_e$, \exists a f.d. rep ρ of W_e s.t. $\rho(u) \neq 0$

⑤ W_e is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{e,e})$

⑥ For every central char $\eta: Z(\mathfrak{g}_e) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the algebra

$W_e \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g}_e)} \mathbb{C}_\eta$ is a deformation of

$\mathbb{C}[S_2 \cap U(\mathfrak{g}_e)]$, $S_e = e + \mathfrak{g}_e, f$, (e, h, f) sl_2 -triple.

W_e is defined over $\mathbb{Z}[N^{-1}] =: A$, ~~with~~

$$N = N(e) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Can define W_A , a free A -module.

Can define $W_k = W_A \otimes_A k \quad p \gg 0$

Then W_k has k -basis $\{\theta_1^{a_1} \dots \theta_r^{a_r} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$

There is an algebra epimorphism $\phi: W_k \rightarrow W^{\text{FP}}(g, e)$

Ex. If e is ~~res.~~ nilpotent, then

$$W_k = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_l], \quad l = \text{rk}(g);$$

but $W^{\text{FP}}(g, e)$ is a direct sum of partial convolution algebras.

Thm 1. If $W(g_e, e) = W_e$ has 1-dim representations, then $W^{\text{FP}}(g, e)$ has 1-dim representations.

By using a result of McGovern, Losev proved that $W(g_e, e)$ has 1-dim representations if g_e is of type B, C, D.

Corollary: If $p \gg 0$ and g of type B, C, D, then $U_r(g)$ has a module of dim $\frac{d(e)}{p}$, $\chi = (e, \cdot)$.

Conj: Every finite W -algebra $W(g_e, e)$ has a 1-dim rep.

Thm 2: Suppose e is induced from $e_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$.

If $W(\mathfrak{g}_e, e_0)$ has 1-dim representations,

then $W(g_e, e)$ has 1-dim representations.

Sketch: $W(\Gamma \ell_a, \ell_a, e)$ has 1-dim reps iff $V(\text{Fib}(\Gamma \ell_a, \ell_a))$ has a pt in a ring of S -integers in an alg. number field.

Then $W(\Gamma \ell, \ell, e)$ has a 1-dim rep. $d(e)$.
From this, $U_{x_0}(\ell)$ has a module of dim p .

By our earlier example, $U_x(y)$ has a module of dim $p^{d(e)}$. Then $W^{\text{FP}}(y, e)$ has 1-dim rep.

Then $V(\text{Fib}(y)) \neq \emptyset$ for $p \gg 0$.

Then $V(\text{Fib}(y_e)) \neq \emptyset$. □

For $y \in \mathfrak{e}$, $\mathcal{C}_x =$ all $y_{\mathfrak{e}}$ -modules V s.t. $x - \chi(x)$ acts on V locally nilp. $\forall x \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{e}}$.

$$\text{Wh}_x(V) = \{v \in V \mid x \cdot v = \chi(x)v \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{m}\}$$

$$W_{\mathfrak{e}}\text{-mod} \ni M \longmapsto Q_x \otimes_{W_{\mathfrak{e}}} M \in \mathcal{C}_x.$$

Stryabin: There are equivalences.

If M is a f.d. irr. $W_{\mathfrak{e}}$ -module, then $Q_x \otimes_{W_{\mathfrak{e}}} M$ is irr, hence $I_M := \text{Ann}_{U_{\mathfrak{e}}}(Q_x \otimes_{W_{\mathfrak{e}}} M)$ is a prim. ~~ideal~~ ideal.

One knows: $V(I_M) = \overline{G_{\mathfrak{e}} e}$.

Conversely (P, Losev) every prim. ideal I with $V(I) = \overline{G_{\mathfrak{e}} e}$ occurs this way.

We have a map: $\mathfrak{e} = G_{\mathfrak{e}} e$
 $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{e}}^{\text{prim}} \longleftarrow \text{Irr}_{W_{\mathfrak{e}}}$

all primideals with $V(I) = \overline{G_{\mathfrak{e}} e}$ and infinitesimal char λ . ~~these~~

\uparrow (all irr. f.d. $W_{\mathfrak{e}}$ -modules with central char λ) / iso

... This map is finite-to-one .

Conjecture : The fibres of this map are the orbits under the action of $\Gamma(e) = (G_e)_e / (G_e)_e^o$.