

Reduced standard modules, filtrations, and cohomology

Brian Parshall

University of Virginia

MSRI, March 2008

Joint work with Ed Cline and Leonard Scott

If G is a simply connected, semisimple group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, the standard (Weyl) modules

$$\Delta(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in X^+$$

and the costandard modules

$$\nabla(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in X^+$$

are obtained by reduction mod p from the characteristic zero irreducible representation $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ using minimal and maximal lattices, respectively.

These modules sometimes behave like the irreducible modules in characteristic zero, e. g.,

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_G^n(\Delta(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) = \delta_{n,0} \delta_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

Additionally, they have characters given by Weyl's character formula.

Let U_ζ be the quantum enveloping algebra at a p th root of unity ζ . Let $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ (resp., $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$) be the rational G -module obtained by ‘reduction mod p ’ from irreducible U_ζ -module $L_\zeta(\lambda)$. Here we work over an appropriate p -modular system (\mathcal{O}, K, k) . These modules have been defined by Lusztig.

Elementary properties:

- (1) $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ are indecomposable;
- (2) $\Delta(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \nabla(\lambda)$.
- (3) $\text{head } \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) \cong \text{soc } \nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda)$.
- (4) Assume the LCF holds for U_ζ . Then

$$\text{ch } \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) = \text{ch } \nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) = \chi_{KL}(\lambda).$$

We are interested in the homological properties of these modules. Often we assume that $p > h$ (the Coxeter number) and that the Lusztig conjecture holds for G .

Let

$$X_1^+ = \{\lambda \in X^+ \mid (\lambda + \rho, \alpha_i) \leq p, \alpha_i^\vee \in \Pi\}$$

be the restricted dominant weights.

Proposition: (Z. Lin) For $\lambda \in X^+$, write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1$, where $\lambda_0 \in X_1^+$, $\lambda_1 \in X^+$.

Then

$$\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) = \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda_0) \otimes \Delta(\lambda_1)^{(1)}$$

and

$$\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) = \nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda_0) \otimes \nabla(\lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

A proof can be based on the following unpublished result:

Lemma: (E. Cline) For a restricted weight $\lambda \in X_1^+$, there is a surjective G_1B -morphism

$$\widehat{Z}_1(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\lambda).$$

Therefore, if p is large enough that the regular restricted weights are contained in the Janzten region, namely, if

$$p \geq 2h - 3,$$

and if the Lusztig conjecture holds, then

$$\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes \Delta(\lambda_1)^{(1)},$$

and

$$\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes \nabla(\lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

Sometimes it is useful to set

$$\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)' := L(\lambda_0) \otimes \Delta(\lambda_1)^{(1)},$$

$$\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)' := L(\lambda_0) \otimes \nabla(\lambda_1)^{(1)}.$$

In any event, for $\lambda \in X^+$, $\Delta^{\text{red}}(p\lambda) \cong \Delta(\lambda)^{(1)}$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(p\lambda) = \nabla(\lambda)^{(1)}$. For $x, y \in W_p$, let $P_{y,x}$ = corresponding Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial. We have the following result, calculating the groups $\text{Ext}_G^\bullet(\Delta(\lambda)^{(1)}, \nabla(\mu))$:

Proposition: Assume that $p > h$. For $\lambda \in X^+$, write $p\lambda = x \cdot \tau^-$, $x \in W_p$ and $\tau^- \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}^-$ (= p -alcove containing -2ρ). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{l(x)-l(y)} \overline{P}_{y,x} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim \text{Ext}_G^n(\Delta(\lambda)^{(1)}, \nabla(y \cdot \tau^-)) t^n \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in W_p$ s.t. $y \cdot \tau^- \in X^+$.

Also,

$\mu(y, x) = \dim \text{Ext}_G^1(\Delta(\lambda)^{(1)}, \nabla(y \cdot \tau^-)) \leq 1$,
where $\mu(y, x) = \text{coef. of } t^{l(x)-l(y)-1} \text{ in } P_{y,x}$.

This result is proved using the Kumar-Lauritzen-Thomsen (based on Andersen-Janzen) results mentioned in Pillen's talk. An ingredient here was the Kostant partition function \mathfrak{p}_n . The last sentence comes about because for $n = 0$,

$$\mathfrak{p}_0(\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma,0},$$

for all $\sigma \in X$. !!

Enriched Grothendieck groups K_0^L and K_0^R

Both K_0^L and K_0^R are free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, each with a basis indexed by the set X^+ of dominant weights. These bases are paired to each other with respect to a natural sesquilinear pairing

$$\langle , \rangle : K_0^L \times K_0^R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}].$$

The groups K_0^L and K_0^R can be viewed as deformations of $K_0(D^b(G\text{-mod})) = K_0(G\text{-mod})$, which preserve homological degree information by tracking parity conditions. If $M, N \in G\text{-mod}$ are “represented” in K_0^L and K_0^R , respectively—say by $[M]$ and $[N]$ —then

$$\sum_i \dim \text{Ext}_G^i(M, N)t^i = \langle [M], [N] \rangle.$$

Thus, if $[M], [N]$ can be expressed in terms of the paired bases above, $\text{Ext}_G^\bullet(M, N)$ is calculated.

More precisely, $M \in D^b(G\text{-mod})$ is represented in K_0^L when M or its shift $M[1]$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}^L = \mathcal{E}^L(G\text{-mod})$, and direct sums of such objects—those in $\mathcal{E}^L \oplus \mathcal{E}^L[1]$ —are also represented in K_0^L . Here \mathcal{E}^L is a full subcategory of $D^b(G\text{-mod})$ roughly consisting of those objects which have a “filtration” with “sections” of the form $\Delta(\lambda)[i]$, $\lambda \in X^+$, $i \equiv l(\lambda) \pmod{2}$, for a suitable “length” function $l : X^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A similar description applies to K_0^R , involving another full subcategory \mathcal{E}^R defined in terms of the modules $\nabla(\lambda)$.

To make further progress on the homological properties of the Δ^{red} and ∇_{red} -modules, we need:

Definition: The left (resp., right) *homological lattice property* hLP^L (resp., hLP^R) holds for $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$ if $L_{\zeta}(\lambda)$ has an admissible lattice $\tilde{L}_{\zeta}(\lambda)$ (resp., $\tilde{L}'_{\zeta}(\lambda)$) s.t.

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{U}_{\zeta}}^{\bullet}(\tilde{L}_{\zeta}(\lambda), \tilde{\nabla}_{\zeta}(\mu))$$

(resp.,

$$\text{Ext}_{\tilde{U}_{\zeta}}^{\bullet}(\tilde{\Delta}_{\zeta}(\mu), \tilde{L}'_{\zeta}(\lambda)))$$

is \mathcal{O} -torsion-free when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Theorem: Assume that $p > h$.

(a) For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, hLP^L (resp. hLP^R) holds if and only if $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^L$ (resp., $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^R$).

(b) If hLP^L (resp., hLP^R) holds for $\lambda, \mu \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \text{Ext}_G^n(\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda), \nabla_{\text{red}}(\mu)) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{\nu} \dim \text{Ext}_G^m(\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda), \nabla(\nu)) \\ & \quad \times \dim \text{Ext}_G^{n-m}(\Delta(\nu), \nabla_{\text{red}}(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\lambda = x \cdot \lambda^-$, $\lambda^- \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}^-$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{l(x)-l(y)} \overline{P}_{y,x} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim \text{Ext}_G^n(\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda), \nabla(y \cdot \lambda^-)) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim \text{Ext}_G^n(\Delta(y \cdot \lambda^-), \nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)) t^n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \text{Ext}_G^n(\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda), \nabla_{\text{red}}(\mu)) \\ &= \dim \text{Ext}_{U_{\zeta}}^n(L_{\zeta}(\lambda), L_{\zeta}(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Four Conjectures, $p > h$

Conjecture 1. $\text{hLP}^L, \text{hLP}^R$ hold $\forall \lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$.

Conjecture 2. For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^L$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^R$ ($\iff \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^L$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^R$.)

Conjecture 3. For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1$, with $\lambda_0 \in X_1^+$ and $\lambda_1 \in X^+$. Then $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)'[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^L$ and $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)'[-l(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{E}^R$.

Conjecture 4. For $\lambda \in X^+$, $\Delta(\lambda)$ (resp., $\nabla(\lambda)$) has a Δ^{red} -filtration, i. e., a filtration as a G -module with sections of the form $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\nu)$ (resp., $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\nu)$), $\nu \in X^+$.

Theorem: Let $p > h$.

(a) Assume that the LCF holds for all regular weights in X_1^+ . Then Conjectures 1, 2, and 3 are true.

(b) If Conjecture 3 holds and $p \geq 2h - 3$, then the LCF holds for all regular weights in X_1^+ .

For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, put

$$E_{\zeta}(\lambda) = \Delta_{\zeta}(\lambda) / \text{rad}^2 \Delta_{\zeta}(\lambda).$$

Let $\tilde{E}(\lambda)$ be the image of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\zeta}(\lambda)$ in $E_{\zeta}(\lambda)$, and set $E(\lambda) = \tilde{E}(\lambda) / \pi \tilde{E}(\lambda)$. Here $(\pi) = \mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} . Observe that $\tilde{E}(\lambda)$ is an admissible lattice for $E_{\zeta}(\lambda)$.

Theorem: Assume LCF holds for all regular weights in X_1^+ . Let

$$\tilde{D}(\lambda) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{E}(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{L}^{\min}(\lambda)),$$

and

$$D_{\zeta}(\lambda) = \text{Ker}(E_{\zeta}(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L_{\zeta}(\lambda)).$$

Then $\tilde{D}(\lambda)$ has a \tilde{U}_{ζ} -filtration with (distinct) sections $\tilde{L}^{\min}(\mu)^{\oplus n_{\mu}}$, where

$$n_{\mu} = [D_{\zeta}(\lambda) : L_{\zeta}(\mu)] = \dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\zeta}}^1(L_{\zeta}(\lambda), L_{\zeta}(\mu)).$$

In particular, $E(\lambda)$ has a Δ^{red} -filtration with top section $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$.

At the start of this talk, the standard modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ and the costandard modules $\nabla(\lambda)$ were introduced. Of course, they form the standard and costandard modules for the highest weight category of rational G -modules. So, it very natural to ask of the reduced standard modules $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ and reduced costandard modules $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ are the standard modules and costandard modules for a highest weight category in some natural way.

For a regular dominant weight λ , write

$$\lambda = w \cdot \lambda^-,$$

where $\lambda^- \in C_{\mathbb{Z}}^-$. Define $l(\lambda) = l(w)$.

Say that $L(\lambda)$ has **even** (resp., **odd**) **parity** if $l(\lambda) \equiv 0$ (resp., $l(\lambda) \not\equiv 0$) mod 2. Let

$$X_{\text{reg, even}}^+ \quad (\text{resp., } X_{\text{reg, odd}}^+)$$

be the set of regular dominant weights of even (resp., odd) parity. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{even}}^{\text{reg}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{reg}}$) be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} (the category of rational G -modules) generated by the irreducible modules $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$ (resp., $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, odd}}^+$).

Theorem: (PS) Assume that $p > h$ and that the LCF holds for all regular restricted dominant weights. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{even}}^{\text{reg}}$ is a highest weight category with weight poset $X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$. For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$, the corresponding standard (resp., costandard) object is $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ (resp., $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$).

Similarly, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{reg}}$ is a highest weight category with weight poset $X_{\text{reg, odd}}^+$. For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, odd}}^+$, the corresponding standard (resp., costandard) object is $\Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ (resp., $\nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$).

Let

$$i_*^+ : \mathcal{C}_{\text{even}}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad (\text{resp.}, i_*^- : \mathcal{C}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$$

denote the canonical full embedding of categories. The functor i_*^+ is exact, and admits a right adjoint $i_+^! : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{even}}^{\text{reg}}$ and a left adjoint $i_+^* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{even}}^{\text{reg}}$.

Explicitly, for $M \in \mathcal{C}$, $i_+^! M$ (resp., $i_+^* M$) is the largest submodule (resp., quotient module) of M having composition factors $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$.

Similarly, i_*^- admits a right and left adjoints $i_-^!$ and i_-^* .

For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$, define $X^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ by means of the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X^{\text{red}}(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Also, define $Y_{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ by the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \nabla_{\text{red}}(\lambda) \rightarrow \nabla(\lambda) \rightarrow Y_{\text{red}}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem. (PS) Assume that $p > h$ and that the LCF holds for all regular restricted dominant weights. Let $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg}, \text{even}}^+$. Then

$$i_-^* X^{\text{red}}(\lambda) \text{ (resp., } i_-^! Y_{\text{red}}(\lambda)\text{)}$$

has a Δ^{red} -filtration (resp., ∇_{red} -filtration) in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{reg}}$.

Observe that $i_-^* X^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ is the largest quotient of $X^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$ whose composition factors are all odd.

Proof: One shows that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{reg}}}^1(i_-^* X^{\text{red}}(\lambda), \nabla_{\text{red}}(\mu)) = 0$$

for all $\mu \in X_{\text{reg}, \text{odd}}^+$. Q.E.D.

For $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$, recall

$$E_{\zeta}(\lambda) = \Delta_{\zeta}(\lambda) / \text{rad}^2 \Delta_{\zeta}(\lambda).$$

Let $\tilde{E}(\lambda)$ be a minimal lattice in $E_{\zeta}(\lambda)$, and let $E(\lambda)$ be its reduction mod p . Let

$$D(\lambda) = \text{Ker}(E(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda)).$$

Theorem. (PS) Let $\lambda \in X_{\text{reg, even}}^+$. Then

$$D(\lambda) \cong i_-^* X^{\text{red}}(\lambda)$$

and

$$i_+^* \Delta(\lambda) \cong \Delta^{\text{red}}(\lambda).$$

We also have the provisional result:

Theorem: (PS, 2008) We have

$$E(\lambda) \cong \Delta(\lambda) / \text{Rad}_{G_1 T}^2 \Delta(\lambda)$$

$$D(\lambda) \cong \text{Rad}_{G_1 T}^1(\Delta(\lambda)) / \text{Rad}_{G_1 T}^2(\Delta(\lambda)).$$

Applications and Further Directions

Given $\lambda \in X^+$, write $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \lambda_i$, where $\lambda_i \in X_1^+$. We make no assumption on p , except those explicitly noted below. Put

$$\lambda^{(i)} = \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} p^{j-i} \lambda_j.$$

Theorem: (PS) Assume that $p > h$ and that the LCF holds for all regular weights in X_1^+ . Let $\lambda, \mu \in X^+$ be distinct weights with $\lambda > \mu$ and let j minimal with $\lambda_j \neq \mu_j$. Suppose that $\lambda^{(j)} \in X_{\text{reg}}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \text{Ext}_G^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \\ & \leq \dim \text{Ext}_{U_\zeta}^1(L_\zeta(\lambda^{(j)}), L_\zeta(\mu^{(j)})). \end{aligned}$$

The last term can in principle be calculated, suggesting a way to bound 1-cohomology. More precisely,

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}_{U_\zeta}^1(L_\zeta(y \cdot (-2\rho)), L_\zeta(w \cdot (-2\rho))) = \mu(y, w)$$

is independent of p , if $p > h$. Such a bound is easy to find for each prime p . In fact, $\dim \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_\zeta}^1(L_\zeta(\lambda), L_\zeta(\mu))$ is at most the dimension of the μ -weight space in $\operatorname{St}_\zeta \otimes \operatorname{St}_\zeta \otimes L_\zeta(\lambda)$. This multiplicity is at most

$$\mathfrak{p}(2(p-1)\rho).$$

Theorem. (CPS) There is a constant C , depending only on Φ such that: Let the semisimple group G be defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p , and let $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ be an endomorphism such that G_σ is a finite group. Then for all irreducible L :

$$\dim H^1(G_\sigma, L) \leq C.$$

Remarks: (1) At the end of this argument, key use is made of Bendel-Nakano-Pillen work on the module $\mathcal{G}_r(k)$ discussed in Pillen's talk yesterday.

(2) The Ree and Suzuki group case is due to P. Sin.

We also mention the curious fact, whose proof depends on the inequality

$$\mu(y, x) = \dim \operatorname{Ext}_G^1(\Delta^{\text{red}}(p\lambda), \nabla(y \cdot \tau^-)) \leq 1,$$

mentioned earlier. (Here $p\lambda = x \cdot \tau^-$.)

Theorem: (CPS) Assume that $p > h$. Let $\lambda = \tau + p\nu \in X^+$, with $0 \neq \tau \in X_1^+$ and $\nu \in X^+$. Suppose that $\dim H^1(G, L(\lambda)) > 1$. Then $\tau > p\nu^*$, where $\nu^* := -w_0(\nu) \in X^+$.