The Mathematics K-12 Teachers Need to Know

K-12 math teachers have a dual obligation:

- They must teach mathematics that respects its basic characteristics.
- They must also address the needs of the school classroom, including students' diverse background and mathematical maturity.

In the **New Math**, for example, they ignored the second.

Until very recently, there was a tendency to slight the first. Why emphasize that mathematics of the classroom must respect the basic characteristics of mathematics? *Because:*

- Pre-service professional development generally does not address this issue.
- Textbooks for teachers generally do not concern themselves with this issue.
- Mathematics education itself may slight the importance of the basic characteristics of mathematics when distracted by other concerns (equity, pedagogical strategies, cognitive developments, . . .)

What are the basic characteristics of mathematics? They are not easy to describe, but you'd know it when they are not there.

EXAMPLE. They way **real numbers** are taught in schools is contrary to the spirit of mathematics.

School mathematics is the mathematics of rational numbers. Any excursion into irrational numbers depends on pure extrapolation from the rationals.

E.g.
$$\frac{3}{\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5.1} = \frac{3 \times 5.1 + \sqrt{2} \times \pi}{\pi \times 5.1}$$

Implicitly, the computation invokes at every turn the

Fundamental Assumption of School Mathematics (FASM): All the information about arithmetic operations on rational numbers can be extrapolated to all real numbers.

The use of FASM in school mathematics is good education provided it is made explicit. The fact that FASM is not mentioned in school textbooks or college textbooks for teachers renders the mathematics in those books defective.

The basic characteristics of mathematics

Precision: Mathematical statements are clear and unambiguous. At any moment, it is clear what is known and what is not known.

Definitions: Bedrock of the mathematical structure. No definitions, no mathematics.

Reasoning: Lifeblood of mathematics. The engine that drives problem solving.

Coherence: Mathematics is a tapestry in which all the concepts and skills are interwoven.

Purposefulness: Mathematics is goal-oriented. It solves specific problems.

These characteristics are not independent of each other.

Students who want to be scientists, engineers, or mathematicians need to know mathematics that respects these basic characteristics.

All students need to know this kind of mathematics if school mathematics education is to live up to its educational potential: to provide the best discipline of the mind in the school curriculum. **Key Question:** Why must our teachers know this kind of mathematics?

Trivial Answer: If teachers don't know it, then their students won't know it either.

Nontrivial Answer: Teachers who know this kind of mathematics can make themselves better understood, can win students' trust, and can open up mathematics to their students.

Students cannot not learn mathematics if the don't participate in the doing of mathematics.

They will not participate if they believe mathematics is one giant black box to which even their teachers do not have the key.

Teacher can hope to win their students' trust and inspire them to participate only if they can make transparent what they are talking about (definitions and precision), and can explain why students should learn a skill or a concept (reasoning and purposefulness).

I will discuss four examples to show how teachers who know the basic characteristics of mathematics can teach better.

Example 1. Place value.

Example 2. **Translations, rotations, reflections.**

Example 3. The equal sign.

Example 4. Fractions, decimals, and percent.

Example 1. Place value.

Consider the number

We **tell** students that the three 7's are different, but expect them to have *conceptual* understanding of place value. The expected outcome is inconsistent with the input.

Place value is offered as a rule, but it would help if teachers we can *explain* the reason for such a rule (reasoning).

Place value is a consequence of the way we CHOOSE TO COUNT.

We want to count using **only** ten symbols: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. This decision forces us to use more than one position (place) to count to large numbers.

Illustrate with THREE symbols: 0, 1, 2. Counting stops after three steps. To continue, *one way* is to repeat the three symbols indefinitely:

But to keep track of the repetitions, we label each repetition by a symbol to the **left**:

Adding one symbol to the left of 0 1 2 allows us to count up to nine. Then we are stuck again. To keep going, we repeat these nine symbols indefinitely:

but again label each repetition by a symbol to the **left**:

0 00	0 01	0 02	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 20	0 21	0 22
1 00	101	1 02	1 10	1 11	1 12	1 20	1 21	1 22
2 00	2 01	2 02	2 10	2 11	2 12	2 20	2 21	2 22

The **convention** is to omit 0's on the left:

This way, students get to see the **origin of place value**: we use three places only after we have exhausted what we can do with two places. Thus the 2 in 201 stands not for 2, but the **third** round of counting the NINE two-digit numbers, i.e., the 2 in 201 signifies the beginning of the 18th number (18 = 9 + 9). Therefore, 201 is the 19th number (9+9+1).

In the same way, if we use ten symbols 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, then

the 3 in 324 signifies the 4th round of counting the 100 two-digit numbers and therefore stands for 300 (= 100 + 100 + 100), and 324 is the 300th-and-20th-and-4th number.

When teachers know the underlying reasoning of place value, this content knowledge opens up their pedagogical options. They can explain place value with greater conviction, and they can also allow their students to count with any number of symbols to see place value for themselves.

Knowing how to count is fundamental to the *teaching* of whole numbers.

E.g., A teacher who can *explain* the **definition** of **addition** as iterated counting (i.e., 412 + 735 is the number we get by counting to 735 if we start with 412) can teach the addition algorithm as follows:

We already know how to add **any** two numbers (just count), but it is hard work. The addition algorithm is a short-cut to add any two numbers by counting only *single digit* numbers.

The same holds for ALL standard algorithms. This is why they should be taught.

Example 2. **Translations, rotations, reflections.**

These **basic isometries** are usually taught as means to increase art appreciation: Look for symmetries in designs! Look for symmetries in nature! Look for symmetries in tessellations!

Are these basic isometries only good for fun and games, or do they possess mathematical substance yet to be unveiled?

A teacher who knows the **purposefulness** of mathematics and the importance of **definitions** would teach these basic isometries differently, because she knows *mathematically* what they are for.

She would define two figures to be **congruent**, NOT if they have the "same size and same shape", but if a translation, a rotation, and/or a reflection bring one on top of the other.

Congruence therefore becomes a tactile and learnable concept.

She would also use congruence to give correct definitions of **length**, **area**, **volume**, thereby exhibiting to her students the fundamental role of these basic isometries in mathematics (coherence).

A teacher who understands the purposefulness of mathematics would always emphasize the reasons to learn a concept or skill. *Knowing the reasons facilitates students' learning process.*

For example, she would make clear, that

- students learn about rational exponents of numbers because they have to deal with exponential functions, and
- the importance of learning about axioms and proofs in geometry is not to do *pro forma* proofs of trivial statements, but to establish conviction about the truth of statements that are nontrivial.

Example of nontrivial Euclidean theorems that belong to every high school geometry course.

- The three altitudes of a triangle meet at a point.
- The line segment joining the midpoints of two sides of a triangle is parallel to the third side, and is half the length of the third side.
- ullet Given any three points A, B, C in the plane, then

 $dist(A, B) + dist(B, C) \ge dist(A, C),$

and equality holds if and only if A, B, C are collinear and B is between A and C.

Example 3. The equal sign.

Education research in algebra has decided that students' defective understanding of the equal sign as

an announcement of the result of an arithmetic operation

rather than as

expressing as a relation

is a major reason for their failure to achieve algebra.

It also decides that the notion of "equal" is complex and difficult for students to comprehend. However, in mathematics, the concept of **equality** is a matter of **definition**. The notion of "equal" is unambiguous and *NOT* difficult to comprehend.

If teachers can emphasize the importance of definitions, and always define the equal sign in different contexts with **precision** and care, any misunderstanding of the equal sign would be the concern of professional development and not of education research.

MEANING OF A = B

for expressions in **whole numbers**: both count to same number, or same point on number line (e.g., A = 2 + 5, B = 4 + 3);

for expressions in **fractions**: same point on number line (e.g., $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = 2 - 1\frac{1}{6}$);

for expressions in **rational numbers**: same point on number line (e.g., $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = 2 - 2\frac{1}{6}$);

for two **sets** A and B: $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$;

for two **functions** A and B: check equality of domains of definition and A(x) = B(x) for all x that makes sense;

for two **abstract polynomials** A and B: check equality of all coefficients of the powers in the indeterminate.

If teachers misuse the equal sign as announcement of an answer, or a "call to action", students will follow suit. We need teachers who are aware of the characteristics of **definitions** and **precision** in mathematics.

In particular, we need teachers who will not corrupt students' conception of the equal sign by writing

$$27 \div 4 = 6$$
 remainder 3

We need teachers who write instead

$$27 = (6 \times 4) + 3$$

Example 4. Fractions, decimals, and percent.

Here we focus on the teaching of these topics in **grades 5 and up.** This is where informal knowledge of fractions begins to give way to a formal presentation, and where students' drive to achieve algebra begins to take a serious turn.

Students are told:

a **fraction** is a piece of pizza, part of a whole, a division, and a ratio;

a **decimal** is a number obtained by counting hundreds, tens, ones, tenths, hundredths, thousandths, etc.;

a percent is part of a hundred.

Students are also told to "reason mathematically" using these concepts to solve problems.

A teacher who knows the basic characteristics of mathematics would know that the foundation of mathematical reasoning is clear and correct **definitions**. She would recognize that

this "definition" of a fraction has too many components, some of them don't make sense, e.g., what is a "ratio"? and how to multiply two pieces of pizza?

if decimal and percent are as described, how to compute with them?

if these are all supposed to be numbers, why are they all different? (issue of coherence)

The teacher would recognize the need of a serviceable definition of a fraction, e.g., **a point** on the number line, and the need to define decimals and percent as certain kinds of fractions:

a **decimal** is any fraction with denominator equal to ten, hundred, thousand, etc., (so that 3.52 and 0.0067 are, by definition, $\frac{352}{100}$ and $\frac{67}{10000}$, respectively), and

a **percent** is a fraction of the form $\frac{N}{100}$, where N is a fraction.

Such a teacher can now teach percent problems with ease.

E.g., what percent of 76 is 88?

A similar problem, what fraction of 76 is 88?, is done by writing down, if k is that fraction, $k \times 76 = 88$, so $k = \frac{88}{76} = \frac{22}{19}$.

Since percent is also a fraction, we do the original problem the same way: if N% of 76 is 88, then

$$\frac{N}{100} \times 76 = 88,$$

and $N = \frac{8800}{76} = 115\frac{15}{19}$. Thus, the *answer* is $115\frac{15}{19}\%$.

Such a teacher can also teach the *multiplica-tion algorithm* for decimals and the *place value* of decimals with ease.

For example, the multiplication of decimals is reduced to the multiplication of whole numbers (coherence), because:

$$2.6 \times 0.105 = \frac{26}{10} \times \frac{105}{1000}$$
$$= \frac{26 \times 105}{10 \times 1000}$$
$$= \frac{2730}{10000}$$
$$= 0.2730$$

She would teach the **place value** of decimals on the basis of the *place value of whole numbers* (coherence):

$$3.712 = \frac{3712}{1000}$$

$$= \frac{3000 + 700 + 10 + 2}{1000}$$

$$= \frac{3000}{1000} + \frac{700}{1000} + \frac{10}{1000} + \frac{2}{1000}$$

$$= 3 + \frac{7}{10} + \frac{1}{100} + \frac{2}{1000}$$

Conclusion: Teachers need more than specific pieces of skills or concepts to improve students' achievement in mathematics. They need change in their perception of mathematics as a discipline that embodies the five basic characteristics.

Such a change cannot be accomplished in twoday or three-day workshops. It requires sustained effort over a long period of time.

Abstracts

Speaking Session How do we do it? Teaching Mathematics to U.S. Teachers......Plenary.1 Jeremy Kilpatrick, University of Georgia If a system is a functionally related set of elements, then we have no system for teaching mathematics to U.S. teachers. We have courses and programs, but they do not operate in an organic fashion. In this talk, I address four questions: Who teaches? What mathematics? To whom? And how? Abandoning any attempt to be comprehensive, I make observations and raise issues arising from each question so as to provoke some thought on how we mathematics teacher educators and developers might improve our practice. Just as mathematics teachers need a coherent vision, so do we. Working with Teachers to Improve Mathematics Instruction: Some Experiences and Views......Plenary 2

Robert Fefferman, University of Chicago This will describe my experiences over the years in working with Chicago Public School teachers to improve both their understanding of mathematics, and their ability to teach it. I will explain what I've found in conducting classes for teachers and in visiting their schools. I will also offer some opinions on the role mathematicians should play in improving mathematics instruction and what children need to master in order to succeed in their studies of mathematics.

The mathematics K-12 teachers need to know......Plenary 3 Hung-Hsi Wu, University of California, Berkeley This talk discusses the nature of the mathematics K-12 teachers need to know, and why they should know it.

The Vermont Mathematics Initiative......4a

Ken Gross, University of Vermont Judi Laird, University of Vermont

The Vermont Mathematics Initiative (VMI) is a statewide mathematics professional development program that represents a partnership of the University of Vermont, the Vermont State Department of Education, and local school districts. At the heart of the VMI is a comprehensive, three-year, master's degree program, begun in 1999, designed to build a corps of mathematics teacher leaders across the state of Vermont who are deeply knowledgeable in mathematics content and can apply their knowledge to improve mathematics instruction. In formal evaluations conducted over several years, comparisons of VMI grouped schools with control schools has yielded an overall consistent pattern of the VMI schools exceeding the performance of control schools in both cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis. Originally designed for K-6 teachers, the program has been found to be equally successful with middle school teachers as well.

Notetaker Checklist Form -MSRI

Name: Deborat 3 opt
email addy/phone #: dzopf e cmich edu
I hearby permit MSRI to release my name as the Notetaker. (1) YES () NO
Talk Title/Workshop assigned to:
Designing Opportunities for Teachies to Decilop Mathematical
Designing Opportunities for Teachies to Develop Mathematical Krowledge for Teaching
Lecturer (Full name): Judi Land, Reuben Facley, Kustina anthong, Susin Burne Sessin 46
Date & Time of Event: May 31 , 10 00 - 12:10
Check list:
Introduce yourself to the lecturer prior to lecture. Tell them that you will be the notetaker, and that you will need to make copies of their own notes, if any.
Obtain <u>ALL</u> presentation materials from lecturer. This can be done either before the lecture is to begin or after the lecture; please make arrangements with the lecturer as to when you can do this.
Take down <u>ALL</u> notes from media provided (blackboard, overhead, etc.)
Gather <u>ALL</u> other lecture materials, i.e. handouts.
() Scan ALL materials in PDF scanner and email to Notes@msri.org, in Subject please write in Lecturer's name and Talk title .
Please have either the lecturer/yourself, fill in the following when lecture is done:
1. List 6-12 lecture keywords:
2. Please summerize the lecture in 5 or less sentences.
Once the materials on check list above are gathered, please scan ALL materials and send to the Computing Department. Return this form to Larry Patague, Head of Computing (rm 206)